Case3:12-cv-05980-CRB Document20-1 Filed12/28/12 Page1 of 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 ALLAN J. NICOLOW, **CASE NO. 12-CV-05980-CRB** 14 [PROPOSED] ORDER RELATING Plaintiff, 15 **ACTIONS** V. 16 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, et al. Hon. Charles R. Breyer Judge: 17 Complaint Filed: November 26, 2012 Defendants, 18 19 20 [Caption continues on following page.] 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 LAW OFFICES [PROPOSED] ORDER RELATING ACTIONS COTCHETT, PITRE & McCarthy, LLP

1 PHILIP RICCARDI, 2 CASE NO. 12-CV-06003-EJD Plaintiff, 3 Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila V. Complaint Filed: November 26, 2012 4 MICHAEL R. LYNCH, et al. 5 Defendants, 6 7 -and-8 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, 9 Nominal Defendant. 10 ENERSTO ESPINOZA, 11 **CASE NO. 12-CV-06025-LHK** Plaintiff, 12 Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh V. Complaint Filed: November 27, 2012 13 MICHAEL R. LYNCH, et al. 14 Defendants, 15 16 -and-17 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, 18 Nominal Defendant. 19 DAVIN POKOIK, 20 **CASE NO. 12-CV-06074-YGR** Plaintiff, 21 Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez V. 22 Rogers Complaint Filed: November 30, 2012 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, et al. 23 Defendants, 24 25 26 27 28 LAW OFFICES [PROPOSED] ORDER RELATING ACTIONS

COTCHETT, PITRE & McCarthy, LLP

1		
2	ANDREA BASCHERI, et al,	
3	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 12-CV-06091-SI
	V.	Judge: Hon. Susan Illston Complaint Filed: November 30, 2012
5	LEO APOTHEKER, et al.	Complaint Filed. November 30, 2012
6	Defendants,	
7	-and-	
8	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	
9		
10	Nominal Defendant.	
11	MARTIN BERTISCH,	CASE NO. 12-CV-06123-LHK
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh Complaint Filed: December 3, 2012
14	LEO APOTHEKER, et al.	
15	Defendants,	
16	-and-	
17	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	
18	Nominal Defendant.	
19		
20	SAN JOSE DIVISION THE CITY OF BIRMINGHAM RETIREMENT AND	CASE NO. 12-CV-06416-HRL
21	RELIEF SYSTEM,	Judge: Hon. Howard R. Lloyd
22	Plaintiff,	Complaint Filed: December 18, 2012
23	V.	
24	LEO APOTHEKER, et al.	
25	Defendants,	
26	-and-	
27	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	
28 <b>③</b>		
LAW OFFICES COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY LLP	[PROPOSED] ORDER RELATING ACTION	NS

& McCarthy, LLP

## 

1	Nominal Defendant.		
2	JOSEPH TOLA,	CASE NO. 12-C	V 06422 V AW
3	Plaintiff,		
4	V.	Judge: Complaint Filed:	Hon. Kandis A. Westmore December 18, 2012
5	MICHAEL R. LYNCH, et al.	_	
7	Defendants,		
8	-and-		
9	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,		
10	Nominal Defendant.		
11	STANLEY MORRICAL,	_	
12	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 12-C	V-06434-CRB
13	V.	Judge: Complaint Filed:	Hon. Charles R. Breyer December 19, 2012
14	MARGARET C. WHITMAN, et al.	l r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r	, .
15 16	Defendants,		
17	-and-		
18	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,		
19	Nominal Defendant.		
20		]	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26 27			
27			
& LAW OFFICES	IBDODOCEDI ODDED DEL ATRICCA OTTO	ATC.	
COTCHETT, PITRE & McCarthy, LLP	[PROPOSED] ORDER RELATING ACTION	NO.	

& McCarthy, LLP

1		[PROPOSED] ORDER RELATING ACTIONS		
2	The Court has reviewed the Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should			
3	Be Related filed by Stanley Morrical, derivatively on behalf of Hewlett Packard Company			
4	pursuant to Civil L.	R. 3-12.		
5	As the judge	e assigned to <i>Nicolow</i> , the earliest-filed case below, which bears my initials, I		
6	find that the subsequ	uently filed cases listed below are related to the case assigned to me, and such		
7	cases shall be reass	signed to me pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12:		
8	1)	Nicolow v. Hewlett-Packard Company et al., Case No. 12-cv-05980-CRB		
9	(filed on No	vember 26, 2012)		
10	2)	Riccardi v. Lynch et al., Case No. 12-cv-06003-EJD		
11	(filed on No	vember 26, 2012)		
12	3)	Espinoza v. Lynch et al., Case No. 12-cv-06025-LHK		
13	(filed on November 27, 2012)			
14	4)	Pokoik v. Hewlett-Packard Company et al., Case No. 12-cv-06074-YGR		
15	(filed on No	vember 30, 2012)		
16	5)	Bascheri et al v. Apotheker et al., Case No. 12-cv-06091-SI		
17	(filed on No	vember 30, 2012)		
18	6)	Bertisch v. Apotheker et al., Case No. 12-cv-06123-LHK		
19	(filed on De	cember 03, 2012)		
20	7)	San Jose Division the City of Birmingham Retirement and Relief System v.		
21		Apotheker et al., Case No. 12-cv-06416-HRL		
22	(filed on De	cember 18, 2012)		
23	8)	Tola v. Lynch et al., Case No. 12-cv-06423-KAW		
24	(filed on De	cember 19, 2012)		
25	9)	Morrical v. Whitman et al., Case No. 12-cv-06434-CRB		
26	(filed on De	cember 19, 2012)		
27				
28				

## 

1	Counsel are instructed that all future filings in any reassigned cases are to bear my initials
2	immediately after the case number. Any case management conference in any reassigned case
3	will be rescheduled by the Court.
4	Unless otherwise ordered, any dates for hearing noticed motions are vacated and must be
5	renoticed by the moving party before the newly assigned judge; any deadlines set by the ADR
6	Local Rules remain in effect; and any deadlines established in a case management order continue
7	to govern, except dates for appearance in court, which will be rescheduled by the Court.
8	The clerk of the Court is directed to take all necessary steps to reassign the cases in
9	accordance with this Order.
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	DATED:
12	THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	Submitted by:
16	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP
17	By: /s/ Matthew K. Edling
18	MATTHEW K. EDLING
19	Matthew K. Edling  Son Francisco Aimmont Office Ctm
20	San Francisco Airport Office Ctr 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
21	Burlingame, CA 94010 medling@cpmlegal.com
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
LAW OFFICES	[PROPOSED] ORDER RELATING ACTIONS 2

COTCHETT, PITRE & McCarthy, LLP